

FOURIER FUNCTOR

RYAN KANNANAIKAL

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Results	1
References	4

1. INTRODUCTION

This is a very short supplement/summary to section 2 in [Muk81]. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g , and let $\hat{A}$ its dual abelian variety. We let $\mathcal{P}$ be the normalized Poincaré bundle on $A \times \hat{A}$, i.e. $\mathcal{P}|_{A \times \hat{0}}$ and $\mathcal{P}|_{0 \times \hat{A}}$ are trivial. We let $R\varphi(\mathcal{F}) = R\pi_{A,*}(\mathcal{P}^L \otimes \pi_{\hat{A}}^* \mathcal{F})$, and $R\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F}) = R\pi_{\hat{A},*}(\mathcal{P}^L \otimes \pi_A^* \mathcal{F})$.

2. RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. [Muk81] *There are isomorphisms of functors:*

$$R\varphi \circ R\hat{\varphi} \cong (-1_A)^*[-g]$$

and

$$R\hat{\varphi} \circ R\varphi \cong (-1_{\hat{A}})^*[-g].$$

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent sheaf on A . The **Weak Index Theorem** (W.I.T) hold for $\mathcal{F}$ if $R^i\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all but one i . We call this i the **index** of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted $i(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 2.3. We let $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R^{i(\mathcal{F})}\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F})$ and call it the **Fourier transform** of $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.4. We say that the **Index Theorem** (I.T.) holds for $\mathcal{F}$ if $H^i(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P) = 0$ for all $P \in \text{Pic}^0(A)$ and all but one i .

Proposition 2.5. *We have that*

- *Index theorem $\implies$ Weak index theorem*
- *$\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is locally free if I.T. holds for $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. We will prove this by cohomology and base change, which is [Theorem 12.11](#) in [\[Har77\]](#). We consider the natural map

$$\Theta^i: R^i \pi_{\hat{A},*}(\mathcal{P} \otimes^L \pi_A^* \mathcal{F}) \otimes k(\hat{a}) \rightarrow H^i\left(A \times \hat{A} \Big|_{A \times \hat{a}}, (\mathcal{P} \otimes^L \pi_A^* \mathcal{F}) \Big|_{A \times \hat{a}}\right)$$

and wish to show that it is surjective. However, $(\mathcal{P} \otimes^L \pi_A^* \mathcal{F}) \Big|_{A \times \hat{a}} \cong P_{\hat{a}} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, so the target of Θ^i is $H^i(A_{\hat{a}}, P_{\hat{a}} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$. $\square$

If we identify $\mathcal{F}$ with the complex concentrated in degree 0, it follows that if W.I.T. holds for $\mathcal{F}$ then $R\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}[-i(\mathcal{F})]$.

Corollary 2.6. *If W.I.T. holds for $\mathcal{F}$, then so does for $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $i(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) = g - i(\mathcal{F})$. Moreover $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $(-1_A)^* \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Letting $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = R^{i(\mathcal{F})} \hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F})$, we wish to compute $R^j \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$. This is then $R^j \varphi(R^{i(\mathcal{F})} \hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F}))$. Since we have a composition of two derived functors we will use the Grothendieck spectral sequence to compute the composition. Recall that

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p F(R^q G(X)) \implies R^{p+q}(F \circ G)(X).$$

Then the E_2 page has terms only in row $i(\mathcal{F})$, it follows that $E_2 = E_\infty$. Note also that $R^{j+i(\mathcal{F})}(\varphi \circ \hat{\varphi}) = R^{j+i(\mathcal{F})}(-1_A)^*[-g] = R^{j+i(\mathcal{F})-g}(-1_A)^*$, and since $(-1_A)^*$ is exact it follows that the higher derived functors vanish, so the only nonzero entry is when $j + i(\mathcal{F}) - g = 0$, and since $E_2 = E_\infty$, it follows that only $R^{g-i(\mathcal{F})}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) \neq 0$.

Finally consider the following computation,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{F}} &= R^{g-i(\mathcal{F})} \varphi(R^{i(\mathcal{F})} \hat{\varphi} \mathcal{F}) \\ &= R^g(\varphi \circ \hat{\varphi}) \mathcal{F} \\ &= R^g(-1_A)^*[-g] \mathcal{F} \\ &= R(-1_A)^* \mathcal{F} = (-1_A)^* \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Assume that W.I.T. holds for $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Ext}^{i+\mu}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathcal{G}})$ for every integer i , where $\mu = i(\mathcal{F}) - i(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(A)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}[i]) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\hat{A})}(R\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{F}), R\hat{\varphi}(\mathcal{G})[i]) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\hat{A})}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}[-i(\mathcal{F})], \hat{\mathcal{G}}[i - i(\mathcal{G})]) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\hat{A})}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}[i + i(\mathcal{F}) - i(\mathcal{G})]) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}^{i+\mu}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathcal{G}}). \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism is by the properties of the derived category, the second is by the equivalence of derived categories. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Assume that W.I.T. holds for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on A . Then we have*

$$H^i(A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P_{\hat{x}}) \cong \text{Ext}^{g-i(\mathcal{F})+i}(k(\hat{x}), \hat{\mathcal{F}})$$

and

$$\text{Ext}^i(k(x), \mathcal{F}) \cong H^{i-i(\mathcal{F})}(\hat{A}, \hat{\mathcal{F}} \otimes P_{-x}).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.6, it suffices to show the first isomorphism. Since $P_{\hat{x}}$ is locally free, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes P_{\hat{x}}) &\cong \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P_{\hat{x}}) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}^i(P_{-\hat{x}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{F} \otimes P_{\hat{x}} \otimes P_{-\hat{x}}) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}^i(P_{-\hat{x}}, \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

We get the second line by tensoring both sides by the locally free $P_{-\hat{x}}$. Hence by Corollary 2.7, it is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}^{i+\mu}(\widehat{P}_{-\hat{x}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$. Let $k(-\hat{x})$ be the skyscraper sheaf supported at $\hat{x} \in \hat{A}$, we have computed that $\widehat{k(-\hat{x})} = P_{-\hat{x}}$. It follows that $\widehat{P_{-\hat{x}}} = \widehat{k(-\hat{x})} = (-1_{\hat{A}})^* k(-\hat{x}) = k(\hat{x})$. Hence $\text{Ext}^{i+\mu}(\widehat{P}_{-\hat{x}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \text{Ext}^{i+\mu}(k(\hat{x}), \hat{\mathcal{F}})$, where $\mu = i(P_{-\hat{x}}) - i(\mathcal{F}) = g - i(\mathcal{F})$. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\mathcal{F}$ is equal to $(-1)^{i(\mathcal{F})} r(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X, \mathcal{F}) &= \sum_i (-1)^i h^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^{i+g-i(\mathcal{F})}(k(\hat{x}), \hat{\mathcal{F}}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is locally free, then by Grothendieck-Verdier duality we have that $\text{Ext}^{i+g-i(\mathcal{F})}(k(\hat{x}), \hat{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \text{Ext}^{i(\mathcal{F})-i}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\vee, k(\hat{x}))$, which is isomorphic to $H^{i(\mathcal{F})-i}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\vee \otimes k(\hat{x}))$. Since we are tensoring with the structure sheaf supported at a point, it follows that we only have nonzero cohomology when $i(\mathcal{F}) - i = 0$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\vee \otimes k(\hat{x})) &= \dim H^0(\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\vee \Big|_{\hat{x}}) \\ &= r(\hat{\mathcal{F}}^\vee) \\ &= r(\hat{\mathcal{F}}). \end{aligned}$$

Supposing that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is not locally free, we may take a locally free resolution of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, and the same computation will show that the above sum returns $(-1)^{i(\mathcal{F})} r(\hat{\mathcal{F}})$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Muk81] Shigeru Mukai, *Duality between $\mathbf{D}(X)$ and $\mathbf{D}(\hat{X})$ with its application to picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. (1981).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA 02115, USA
Email address: kannanaikal.r@northeastern.edu